City of York Cou	ınci	il
------------------	------	----

**Committee Minutes** 

MEETING COMMITTEENAME

DATE MEETINGDATE

PRESENT COUNCILLORS

**MEMBERSPRESENTSHORTROLESLIST** 

APOLOGIES COUNCILLORS

**MEMBERAPOLOGIESSHORTLIST** 

#### , Chair

[The meeting started at MeetingActualStartTime and finished at MeetingActualFinishTime].



# Front Street - Update Report to Executive

Joint Ward Committee Meeting 10th July 2024

Funded by the UK Government through the UK Shared Prosperity Fund.





# Agenda

- Update on engagement survey, feedback and community priorities
  - Share community proposal for signage and wayfinding
- Design
  - Outline the emerging high-level principles for scheme
- Next steps approval process
  - Programme
- Questions
- University of York session to listen to your feedback

#### Phase 2

#### Aim

Work with & listen to community on placemaking & accessibility

#### 4 Key elements

- Enhancing the high street
- Creating a more accessible destination
- Extending the benefits beyond the high street
- Longer term aspirations

#### 4 week engagement to test costed ideas

- Note two consecutive pre-election periods
- Pre-election periods place restrictions on the information the council can share with the community
- Therefore, the July Executive Report is first opportunity to share progress/ updates.

#### Phase 2 Engagement

3 drop-in events produced 233 post it notes


- 6 stakeholder meetings
- What A Load Of Bollards Campaign Group
- Joint Acomb, Westfield & Holgate Ward Committee
- Greater Acomb Community Forum

- Acomb Alive
- York Access Forum
- The Place, younger people

- 900 surveys completed
  - each responding to 63 questions plus 5337 comments analysed
  - Press and social media comments were followed and noted

All responses have informed Phase 2 scheme and demonstrates

council's commitment to listening to the local community.



Could it be 'bye-bye' to the hated bollards in Acomb's Front Street?

**York Press** 

#### **Executive Summary of Engagement Feedback**

 Feedback received for each of the initial ideas tested through the survey & accompanying wider engagement events and meetings

 Concludes with a table showing priorities when considering the Phase 2 proposals in the whole, upon which the Executive report is based.

 This feedback is key to understanding the priorities for Front Street and is being used, alongside ongoing costing works, to shape the emerging design.


#### **Survey Findings**

- 659 respondents use Front Street at least once a week, tend to be local residents using variety of facilities eg shops, cafes, medical & Explore
- 696 respondents travel by foot, 417 by car, 181 bike and 114 bus

#### **Demographics**

- 67% participation by female and 31% male
- Strong representation from 25 through to 65+ age categories
- 108 Blue badge holders completed survey

# Enhance the high street




#### **Bollards**

7A. Reduce the number of bollards?

7B. Retain some bollards to protect from illegal parking?


7C. Is removing approx two thirds of bollards the right balance?




0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

support neutral/ no strong view oppose other


# Accessibility-generous wide level crossings



**Blue Badge Parking** 



#### Extending the benefits beyond shopping area




#### **Longer Term Aspirations**

15A. Feasibility study to create a people friendly...

15C. Create a more people friendly street within...

Adopt privately owned land

17. Enhance links between the high street and...



0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

■ support ■ neutral/ no strong view ■ oppose ■ other

# **Survey Priorities**

#### Your top 5 priorities

- Activate space with markets/events
- Welcome gateway
- New central space
- Review bollards
- Feasibility study

#### Least popular


- Raised table C at Gateway Centre
- Wayfinding
- Pop up street furniture

#### Community input on identity and signage



#### Engaged ideas





#### Shaping the design


- Work with urban designers on transformation of the space/road
- Council challenged to be bold & creative
- Desire to initiate change of behaviour from all users
- Build flexibility for community events and activities
- Ensure any work carried out will not require "undoing" for any future enhancement work
- Phase 2 work seen in the context of a 5-10 year plan

## Phase 2 design principles - retaining road use

- Create more people friendly & accessible space
- Clear unrestricted pedestrian routes
- Wide generous crossing points
- Flexibility of space for events / markets
- Create place for people & activities change to road surface
- Additional West End welcome entrance
- Grouped/social seating, planters & bins
  - Reduce bollards, reuse where possible
- Accessible Blue Badge parking spaces



# WIP - Phase 2 Welcome gateway entrance




# What does Hardipave look like?






https://milesmacadam.co.uk/case-studies/cowley-road-oxford/

#### WIP - Phase 2 Central area




#### WIP - Phase 2 West End additional entrance




#### Proposed trial wheelchair tactile paving

- Consulted with York Access Forum & Access Officer
- Purpose is test whether tactile paving removes the significant vibration experienced by wheelchair users
- Installed on both sides of crossing






# **BEFORE** – Welcome area



#### **After**





# **Before** – Central area



#### **After**





# **Before** – West End entrance



#### **After**






Page 28

WIP - Phase 2 Main shopping area



# Page 29

#### WIP Phase 2 area - removed & retained bollards



#### **Bollard Review**

- Prior to phase 1 54 bollards
   31 timber & 23 metal
- Phase 1 scheme 131 bollards
- Proposed phase 2 34 using seating and planters

	Proposed Remove	Proposed Remain
Initial plans Feb 24 (Engaged on)	c.80 (61%)	c.50
WIP Plan July 24 Alternative solutions	c.97 (74%)	c. 34









#### Accessible Blue Badge Car Parking


	Existing	Proposed
Halifax /Coopland	3	2
Cross Street	2	3
School Street	2	1
Total	7	6
Net loss		1





# New crossing and seating at WMC





## **Examples of materials palette TBC**













age 32

# Beyond Phase 2 – the long-term plan

Based on engagement survey the next step would be to explore: -

- Work with the community to trial events programme for road closures and funding for events
- Seeking funding to deliver adoption of main shopping area including look to private owners for contribution
- Reviewing the pedestrianisation study options, consult with businesses to assess impact of any change in road use
- Undertake feasibility of School Street parking to identify improvements
- Working with Acomb Explore to extend pedestrian priority and compliment recent Library Arts/ council funding
- Reviewing Morrisons junction
- Reviewing WMC future plans, scope to enhance green open area

Page 33

#### You said.... We did

You said	What's proposed
Make more things happen in the space	<ul> <li>Regular market introduced</li> <li>Lots of ideas and volunteers for community events</li> <li>Work on a programme to regularly close road to help deliver this</li> </ul>
Create welcome gateway entrances	<ul> <li>Extend York Road proposals</li> <li>Create another West End entrance instead of 3<sup>rd</sup> raised table at Green Lane</li> </ul>
Create new central space	<ul> <li>Wide generous crossing, electrical point "event column", more greenery</li> <li>Consider spatial requirements for more markets and events</li> </ul>
Bollard review	<ul> <li>Remove ~ 90 bollards, use alternative seating and planters to protect kerbline</li> <li>Review and declutter highway signage</li> </ul>
Create safer place for people through reducing vehicle dominance in the space	<ul> <li>Wide generous crossings, 20mph speed limit, physically change the appearance of the carriageway and reduce clutter</li> <li>Devising scope for pedestrianisation feasibility</li> </ul>
Address misuse of Blue Badge car parking spaces	<ul> <li>Clear, accessible BB car parking in 3 locations</li> <li>Clear signage and support with greater enforcement</li> </ul>

#### You said.... We did


You said	What's proposed
Build on community sense of place and identity. Consider mural locations	<ul> <li>Work with community group to develop their logo ideas into wayfinding signs</li> <li>Seek additional funding, develop scope and procure specialist to deliver mural and involve local schools/ community for ideas</li> </ul>
Better links across Front Street	<ul> <li>New crossing point at Morrisons</li> <li>Seating outside the Working Men's Club</li> </ul>
Repair broken toilets	<ul> <li>Upgrade to two accessible cubicles</li> <li>Create clear pedestrian access to building &amp; signage to raise awareness</li> </ul>
More greenery and seating with no temporary pop-up features	<ul> <li>Introduce grouped social seating areas with planting, low maintenance</li> <li>Move and create additional "happy to chat bench"</li> <li>Use permanent seating rather than temporary furniture</li> </ul>
Fill in the street – one flat level, close to traffic, pedestrianise	<ul> <li>Assessed highway guidance and accessibility requirements. At this time creating a flat level surface is not acceptable to the council however we have worked with urban designers to physically change the colour/ surface of carriageway, that will change usage and behaviour of people in the space when traffic gate is down (pedestrian zone)</li> <li>Review scope for pedestrianisation feasibility and commission study. Look at how experimental/ extending existing TRO's could be used in a phased approach (e.g. to increase pedestrian zone into early evening)</li> </ul>

#### **Next steps**

#### Two-fold approval process

- Joint Ward Committee Meeting 10<sup>th</sup> July with University of York to listen to your feedback
- 18<sup>th</sup> July Executive Progress Report
- 9th September Pre-Decision Scrutiny Committee
- 24<sup>th</sup> September costed final scheme delegated to Executive Member Decision Session for Economy & Culture (in consultation Executive Member for Transport)
- Three opportunities for public comments on scheme

## Programme



- Since engagement period there have been two consecutive pre-election periods (Mayoral & GE)
- Pre-election periods place restrictions on the information the council can share with the community
- Therefore, the July Executive Report is first opportunity to share progress/ updates

#### Any questions?

#### Reminder:

University of York session to listen to your feedback

#### What is a "raised table"?

- A generous, wide pedestrian crossing, level with the pavement:
- By day, when traffic gate is closed this would create a more people friendly and accessible space
- By night, when traffic gate is open, would act as a traffic calming device to reduce traffic speed (20mph)



# Why can't we fill in the road, have flat level surface?

- No change to current road function, pedestrian zone by day and carriageway after 4pm
- If no kerb delination between footway & carriageway the flat level surface introduces "shared space"
- Department of Transport moratorium on shared spaces (not fully pedestrianised)
- LTN1.20 advises against shared space
- Highway requires min kerb height, shallow 25mm kerbs are not acceptable to council. Royal National Institute of Blind People recommend min 60mm
  - Drainage and slope of road would require min 25mm kerb to prevent water running down and potentially flooding shops.
- Cost to infill road exceeds current funding
- Infill and pave estimated cost £500,000 (as Phase 1, kerbs removed, drainage)

#### **Q 18 Survey Priorities**

	Don't kr	now	No		Low		High		Total
Market/ opportunities to activate area	3.6%	24	2.4%	16	21.1%	143	73.0%	494	677
Welcome gateway (level crossing space, blue badge parking, seating, planting, signage)	3.7%	25	4.8%	32	22.6%	152	69.0%	464	673
B: Create new central space	9.7%	65	6.1%	41	25.6%	171	58.6%	392	669
Review bollards	3.7%	25	15.3%	104	24.2%	164	56.6%	386	679
Feasibility study for people friendly street/pedestrianisation (longer term)	5.3%	35	9.9%	66	33.6%	224	51.2%	341	<del>(</del> 66
Raised tables/ level crossing space	10.5%	70	9.6%	64	33.5%	223	46.4%	309	66e
Improved Blue Badge car parking	11.6%	78	9.4%	63	33.2%	223	45.8%	308	67 <mark>2</mark>
Place identity, public art	3.1%	21	12.8%	86	38.2%	257	45.8%	308	672
New crossing & seating (near Working Men's									
Club)	4.5%	30	9.8%	66	40.8%	275	45.0%	303	674
A: Part of Welcome area	15.5%	103	7.5%	50	35.5%	236	41.4%	275	664
Pop up temporary street furniture	5.4%	36	10.6%	71	50.5%	338	33.5%	224	669
Wayfinding signage	4.5%	30	12.4%	83	50.5%	339	32.7%	220	672
C: Replace dropped crossing Gateway Centre	17.6%	117	11.5%	76	43.4%	288	27.6%	183	664

This page is intentionally left blank